United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,886	04/05/2004	Christian E. Gruber	IVGN 178.1 CON	3859
	7590 09/19/2007 CORPORATION		EXAMINER TUNG, JOYCE	
C/O INTELLE	VATE			
P.O. BOX 5205 MINNEAPOLI	· -		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WHI VI VEZ II O'EI	, 1/11/ 05 101	•	1637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-		•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,		
Office Action Summary			10/816,886	GRUBER ET AL.			
		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	—		
	•		Joyce Tung	1637			
_		The MAILING DATE of this communication app		t with the correspondence address			
Р		r Reply					
	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, ma vill apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
s	tatus						
	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .				
	2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
٠	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
		closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
D	ispositi	on of Claims					
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54-123</u> is/are pending in the application.						
		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration				
	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>54-123</u> is/are rejected.					
	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
Α	pplicati	on Papers					
	9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
		The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		I to by the Examiner.			
		Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drav	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Р	riority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	<u>-</u> /(1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
		2. Certified copies of the priority documents		in Application No.			
•		3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		••			
		application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
	* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies	not received.			
				·			
	ttachmen		· 🗖 .				
		e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date			
3)	Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/816,886

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

The applicant's response filed 7/20/07 to the Office action has been entered. Claims 54-123 are pending.

1. Claims 54-123 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huo (5,922,535, issued Jul. 13, 1999) in view of Chenchik et al. (5,962,271, issued Oct. 5, 1999).

Huo et al. includes the teaching of standard cDNA synthesis from mRNA (see column 5, lines 8-20). Huo et al. also disclose the advantageous use of a biotinylated primer in cDNA synthesis to facilitate attachment of synthesized cDNA to solid supports (column 5, lines 21-27; column 7, lines 51-60; column 11, lines 31-37; column 12, lines 9-24). Huo et al. also disclose the use a biotinylated primer comprising a rare restriction site, which primer sequence may be cleaved after cDNA synthesis with a restriction enzyme unlikely to cleave within any synthesized cDNA (see column 13, lines 17-46).

While Huo et al. disclose the use of a biotinylated primer-adapter comprising a rare restriction site, said primer-adapter is used in a different manner than in the claimed methods; in Huo et al., heteroduplex cDNAs are produced which are cleaved at points of variation. In other words, Huo et al. covers steps (a)-(c) of claim 54, for example, but not steps (d) and (e).

Chenchik et al. disclose the use of cDNA synthesis primers comprising rare restriction enzyme cutting sites to facilitate cloning of full-length synthesized cDNAs into cloning vectors (see column 9, lines 17-25 and column 11, lines 40-45).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use a biotinylated adapterprimer comprising a rare restriction enzyme cutting site in conventional cDNA synthesis and subsequent cloning because Huo et al. disclosed the benefit of biotinylated cDNA synthesis primers in attaching cDNA to a solid support, and Chenchik et al. disclosed the benefit of cDNA synthesis primers having rare restriction sites in subsequent cloning of full-length cDNA into vectors. In other words, the skilled artisan considering the references as a whole would have combined the noted teachings to achieve the expected combined benefits of biotinylated primers (Huo et al.) and primers containing rare restriction sites (Chenchik et al.) in conventional cDNA synthesis. It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to carry out the claimed methods.

The response argues that the instant claimed method comprising a step to release one or more cDNA molecules from the hapten. However, this limitation is not in the claims.

The response further argues that Huo and Chenchik et al. do not teach a method wherein cleavage of a restriction site within a primer-adapter results in the release of synthesized cDNA from the hapten and rather Huo uses restriction site cleavage to fill in the cut site of the second synthesized strand of DNA with biotinylated nucleotides. See Huo at column 13, lines 40-45. However, Huo does disclose a dissociation step in which following the cleavage step, the cleaved nucleic acid 16 are separated from hapten (See column 8, lines 23-28 and fig. 1). Therefore, based upon the analysis above, the rejection is maintained.

Summary

- 2. No claims are allowed.
- 3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/816,886

Art Unit: 1637

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (571) 272-0790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joyce Tung 5
September 15, 2007

TENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINED

Page 4

9/17/07